

GOVERNED TEMPLATE RENDERING REFERENCE: ASSUMPTION REGISTER DEFAULT V2 (DRAFT)

definition cae995b0...82ff

ASSUMPTION REGISTER · PROJECT ARTIFACT

SPECIRA

Assumption Register: Dispatch Modernization

Meridian Field Services: instructional example, not project evidence

DRAFT · WATERMARK POLICY: DRAFT_ONLY

template assumption_register v2 · pack: specira_default_delivery

claims held true so work proceeds; the due date is the forcing function

§1 Assumption Table

mandatory1 decision1 evidence rule

validators: every_assumption_has_named_owner_and_due_date · assumption_status_from_allowed_lifecycle · no_assumption_past_due_without_status_update

Every claim the project currently proceeds on, with its basis, its cost-if-wrong, one owner, and a date. Past-due is handled by update, never silence.

Scope: full register, as of 2026-09-15 · live register at workspace registers^[1]. **Confidence scale, stated once:** high (independent evidence exists), medium (single credible source), low (belief without evidence).

Id	Claim	Basis	Conf.	Impact if wrong	Owner	Due
ASM-01	The vendor telematics feed sustains 400 positions/min at pilot fleet scale	Vendor capacity statement + contract SLA	medium	Board staleness breaks the liveness target	E. Sandoval	Sep 12
ASM-02	Dispatchers adopt	Journey-mapping	medium	The value case	M. Chen	Oct 15

Id	Claim	Basis	Conf.	Impact if wrong	Owner	Due
	suggestion-first assignment within the pilot window	sessions (future state ^[2])		erodes (RSK-06 ^[3])		
ASM-03	The collective agreement permits during-shift location tracking with meaningful notice	HR counsel's preliminary read	medium	Pilot pause at the represented hub	N. Duval	was Aug
ASM-04	All five hubs run the same dispatch intake process	Operations leadership statement at kickoff	high (wrongly)	Rollout plan misfits diverging hubs	M. Chen	n/a
ASM-05	The HR directory remains authoritative for the technician roster through pilot	Integration contract (system of record ^[4])	high	Roster sync design re-opens	A. Reyes	Jul 31

§2 Validation Plans & Risk Pipeline

mandatory

1 decision

1 evidence rule

validators: every_open_assumption_has_validation_plan_with_method · broken_assumption_has_risk_backreference ·

unvalidated_high_impact_raised_at_register_cadence

Plans name their method (test, analysis, inspection, demonstration); "we will see" is not a plan. A broken assumption keeps its row and spawns a risk; it never relabels itself in place.

Row	Method	Plan	What the answer changes
ASM-02	demonstration	Observe assignment flows for the first two pilot weeks against the override-rate indicator (<u>US-3 telemetry</u> ^[5])	A sustained rate above 40% breaks the claim and re-scopes the suggestion loop (<u>FR-013</u> ^[6])
ASM-03	analysis	Labor counsel's written opinion + the union co-signature review	The answer sets the notice text (<u>consent model</u> ^[7])

Pipeline, shown: ASM-04 flipped to broken, kept its row, and spawned RSK-05^[3], where scoring and response live; nothing about Calgary is restated here. **Escalation:** ASM-03 is high-impact and twice-extended, so it rides the risk register's weekly steering cadence alongside RSK-07^[3] until validated.

§3 Linked Requirements & Decisions

mandatory

1 decision

1 evidence rule

validators: dependency_links_resolve_both_directions · every_link_carries_blast_note

What proceeds on each claim, by id, both directions, and what re-opens when it breaks, so a break routes work instead of surprise.

Row	Depends on it	Blast if broken
ASM-01	The architecture's scale frame + the breakpoint scenario (<u>quality scenarios</u> ^[4] , <u>PS-3</u> ^[8])	The capacity plan re-opens
ASM-02	<u>FR-002</u> ^[6] , <u>US-3</u> ^[5] , the BRD value case (<u>objectives</u> ^[9])	The suggestion loop re-scopes before hub two
ASM-03	<u>SR-033</u> ^[10] , the consent model (<u>lawful bases</u> ^[7]), the TM-05 acceptance (<u>threat model</u> ^[11])	Override telemetry pauses; dispatch does not
ASM-04	<u>BD-1</u> ^[12] rollout sequencing + the journey-map portfolio (<u>portfolio</u> ^[2])	The break re-sequenced phase two (it happened)
ASM-05	<u>Technician</u> ^[13] + the board's read copy	The sync design re-opens

Both directions hold; each cited row lists its ASM ids in its own dependencies.

§4 Verification Evidence

mandatory

1 decision

1 evidence rule

validators: validated_rows_cite_resolvable_dated_evidence · evidence_method_matches_executed_plan

A verbal confirmation nobody recorded validates nothing; evidence is resolvable, dated, and named.

Row	Method	Evidence	Signed · date
ASM-01	test	The breakpoint run measured failure onset at 2,150 positions/min against the 400 needed (<u>PS-3 results, run export</u> ^[8])	E. Sandoval · Sep 13
ASM-05	inspection	The integration contract names the HR directory authoritative through pilot (<u>contract extracts</u> ^[14])	A. Reyes · Jul 30
ASM-04	breaking evidence	The Calgary intake walkthrough notes (<u>research notes</u> ^[2]), recorded Jul 28; that record is why the break routed work instead of surprise	M. Chen · Jul 28

§5 Open Questions

mandatory

1 decision

The boundary, stated once: a QUESTION is an unknown awaiting an answer (no default position). An ASSUMPTION is a claim held true so work proceeds (a default position with an owner). A RISK is a possible future harmful event. An answered question becomes an assumption, a logged decision, or a plain fact; it never stays a question.

Boundary applied: "does hub six exist in the rollout plan" is a question (tracked at BD-2^[12]); "Calgary runs the same intake" WAS an assumption and broke; "vendor outage stales the board" is a risk (RSK-04^[3]).

Question	Owner	Answer by	Blocks
Does ASM-02's demonstration window extend if pilot start slips past October 1?	M. Chen	Sep 26, 2026	The ASM-02 due date only

Refs References & Package Contents

In this export package

package [14] Contract extracts: HR-directory authority clause ./compliance/contract-extracts.pdf

In the Specira workspace

specira [1] Workspace assumption register: the live source of truth
app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/registers/assumptions

specira [2] Journey maps: future state, portfolio, Calgary walkthrough notes
app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/journey-maps

specira [3] Risk register: RSK-04, RSK-05, RSK-06, RSK-07 app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/risk-register

specira [4] Architecture: system of record, scale frame, quality scenarios
app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/architecture

specira [5] User stories: US-3 telemetry app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/user-stories#us-3

specira [6] FRD: FR-002, FR-013 app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/frd

specira [7] Data classification: consent model / lawful bases app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/data-classification#consent

specira [8] Performance test scenarios: PS-3 results, run export app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/performance-test-scenarios#results

specira [9] BRD: objectives / value case app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/brd#objectives

specira [10] Security requirements: SR-033 app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/security-requirements#sr-033

specira [11] Threat model: TM-05 acceptance app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/threat-model#tm-05

specira [12] Decision log: BD-1, BD-2 app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/decision-log

specira [13] Data model: Technician entity app.specira.ai/projects/dispatch-modernization/artifacts/data-model#technician
